CHILD AND FAMILY WELL-BEING Request for TERM-Appointed Evaluator

NOTE TO EVALUATOR re: EVALUATION FEEDBACK SESSION: The assigned evaluator may subsequently be requested to provide a feedback session to the client if there is a Court order to release the results. When you are requested to provide the feedback session, an authorization will be provided to you.

A. SOCIAL WORKER INFO	MVIATION			
If using electronic signate Resource for procedure of	- · ·	_	with date/time stamp. Refer	to the <u>Digital Signatures</u>
Date:				
SW Name:	Phone #:	Fax #:	SW Email:	
Assigned Office/Program: <selection required=""> Case Status: <select required=""></select></selection>				
Assigned PSS Name:		Phone #:	PSS Email:	
PSS Signature:			Date:	
Another PSS is signin	g on behalf of the assig	ned PSS. Comp	olete section below.	
PSS Name:	Phone #:		PSS Email:	@sdcounty.ca.gov
Manager Name: Phone #:				
Manager Signature: Date Signed:				
Another CFWB Manager is signing on behalf of the assigned CFWB manager. Complete section below.				
Manager Name	Phone #:		Email:	@sdcounty.ca.gov
B. CLIENT INFORMATION				
<select evaluation="" type=""> is requested for: Child/Youth/Non-Minor Dependent Parent (Please provide full legal name below)</select>				
Last Name: Firs	t Name: Middle	2:		
DOB:	State ID #:		Two Digit Person #:	
Address:	Phone Number:			
Homeless Zip code where parent is most frequently located:				
Gender: <select></select>	Pronouns: <select></select>	Comment:		
Language: <select></select>	Ethnicity: <select></select>	If "Other," s	pecify:	

If service is to be provided in a language other than English, specify language: <select> If "Other," specify:</select>				
Only complete if referring a child/youth or Non-Minor Dependent:				
Is in out-of-home care?: Yes No				
School: Grade:				
Has an IEP?: <select> If yes, specify the qualifying condition:</select>				
Does child/youth/NMD have a Fetal Alcohol Syndrome Disorder diagnosis (FASD) Yes No				
Was the child/youth/NMD prenatally exposed to substances? Yes No If yes, what substances				
Has the child/youth/NMD been diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder: Yes No				
Active to Regional Center?: Yes No				
Child/Youth's Current Placement: <select> If "Other," specify:</select>				
FUNDING SOURCE:				
☐ Medi-Cal: ☐ Yes				
Managed Care Plan: Select a Managed Care Plan CFWB Funds				
*The timeline for completion of the evaluation is within 30 days of receipt of the 04-178 and background records. For youth in Polinsky Children's Center or Juvenile Hall the timeline is 10 days. Complete below if requesting an expedited evaluation.				
Expedited Evaluation Requested Due Date:				
Reason:				
C. CASE INFORMATION				
NOTE TO EVALUATOR: An adult has a right to request a copy of their own mental health evaluation report from the court. If the court, finding "good cause" to do so, orders the evaluation report released, the SW will request that the evaluator provides a feedback session prior to the parent receiving a copy of their evaluation report. If the evaluator agrees to the feedback session, the SW will complete the 04-130c to authorize payment. If the evaluator has concerns about providing this feedback, the evaluator will inform the SW.				
Case Information: Voluntary Pre-Jurisdiction Court-Ordered Parental Rights Terminated				
Required for Adoptions Purposes (child over age 6 which meets need for evaluation)				
Next Court Date:				
SDM Safety Threat:				
Caregiver caused serious physical harm to the child or made a plausible threat to cause serious physical circumstances suggest that the child's supervision, food, clothing, and/or				

harm.		safety may be of immediate concern.		resul	cal or mental health care ting in serious harm or imminent er of serious harm.
The physical living conditions are hazardous and immediately threatening to the health and/or safety of the child.		Caregiver describes or speaks to the child in predominantly negative terms or acts toward or in the presence of the child in negative ways AND these actions result in severe psychological/emotional harm, resulting in imminent danger.		Caregiver does not protect the child from serious harm or threatened harm by others. This may include physical abuse, sexual abuse, or neglect.	
Caregiver's explanation injury to the child is questic inconsistent with the type of AND the nature of the injur that the child's safety may limmediate concern.	onable or of injury, y suggests		efuses access to the reason to believe s about to flee.	hous	omestic violence exists in the ehold and poses an imminent er of serious harm to the child.
Other (specify):					
SDM Risk Factors:					
Previously investigated abuse/neglect allegations	_ ~	iver blames the he incident	Caregiver employ excessive/inappropri discipline		Any child in the household is younger than 2 years old in the where the maltreatment incident reportedly occurred.
Prior or current CFWB case history	a child res abuse/ne	ohysical injury to sulting from child glect or prior ated physical a child	One or both care, have a history of abu neglect as a child	-	There have been two or more physical assaults or multiple periods of intimidation/threats/hara ssment in the household between caregivers or between a caregiver and another adult.
Any child in the household has a developmental, learning, and/or physical disability; is diagnosed as medically fragile or failure to thrive; or has mental health and/or behavioral issues.	experienc	ness or housing	The caregiver: Has been diagnosed having a significant mental health disord that impacts daily functioning OR Has had repeated referrals for mental hoR Was recommend.	er nealth	Primary or secondary caregiver has past or current alcohol/drug use that interferes with family functioning

treatment.			
Date of Initial Risk Assessment: Initial Risk Assessment Score:			
Date of SDM Risk reassessment or reunification reassessment:			
Risk Reassessment or Reunification Reassessment score:			
Describe the incident that brought this family to the attention of CFWB (i.e. the safety concern that resulted in			
CFWB involvement; Harm Statement, if applicable):			
D. Reason for Evaluation			
What is the current status of the case? :			
Why is the evaluation requested at this time?:			
If parent/youth/NMD has Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment on their case plan or SUD is a complicating factor, provide detail regarding progress in treatment, sobriety, recent drug test results that indicate they are ready to engage in evaluation; if unclear please consult with staff psychologist, if not applicable enter N/A			
CHECK ALL THAT APPLY:			
A youth under 15 years of age who has been a victim of sexual abuse. NOTE: Regulations for psychological evaluation require for cases of sexual abuse with a youth under the age of 15,			
any mental exam of the youth shall not exceed three hours, inclusive of breaks. If needed, the court may grant an extension of the three-hour limit for good cause. The SW will need to submit an Ex-parte requesting the			
extension. Please indicate if the youth being referred has ever displayed aggression or made threats of violence towards			
authority figures including school personnel, e.g., teacher, school counselors, etc.			
A CHILD IN THIS CASE IS UNDER 3 YEARS OF AGE: For parents with children under age 3, the statutory time limit for reunification services is 6 months. However, services can be extended up to 6 additional months if the parent			
makes substantive progress in court-ordered treatment and services prior to the review hearing. Highly Vulnerable Child(ren) Case: A higher-than-average possibility exists of serious re-injury or death to a child.			
Case may include:			
 severe physical abuse with serious non-accidental injuries to the head, face or torso in children age five years or younger, or children who are developmentally delayed at a functional level of five years or younger 			
 child's parent or guardian caused the death of another child through abuse or neglect 			
 infant born to parents currently involved with CFWB or past involvement with CFWB and did not 			

successfully reunify

NOTE: Psychological evaluations for adults may take up to eight (8) or more hours to complete and may occur in more than one session. SWs need to ensure that the adult is willing and able to participate in this assessment and provide support (e.g., transportation) as needed to keep the scheduled appointment.

support (e.g., transportation) as needed to keep the scheduled appointment.		
CHILD/YOUTH/NMD	PARENT	
Check the ONE box below that indicates the rationale for the psychological evaluation. Do not refer if the child is in therapy with a TERM provider. The diagnosis should	Check the ONE box below that indicates the rationale for the psychological evaluation. Do not refer the parent is in therapy with a TERM provider and you need a	
be included in the initial treatment plan. Adoption: An adoption is finalizing for a child and an	diagnosis. The diagnosis should be included in the initial treatment plan. Diagnostic Clarification: (If selected, check the ONE box below	
evaluation of the child's social, emotional, behavioral, and cognitive functioning is being requested as part of	that indicates the reason for the psychological evaluation):]	
the adoption finalization process.	☐ The treating licensed mental health professional is requesting a psychological evaluation to clarify	
Diagnostic Clarification: (If selected, check the ONE box below that indicates the rationale for the psychological evaluation)	diagnosis and appropriate interventions because the parent's symptoms have recently escalated,	
The child/youth/NMD's primary therapist is recommending a psychological evaluation for diagnostic clarification and treatment purposes.	the parent is not making expected progress in treatment, or there are questions about the fidelity of current diagnoses and treatment strategies.	
Recent escalation and/or significant symptoms of emotional or behavioral disturbance e.g., escalating/significant behavioral/mood symptoms, concerns for suicidal ideation/homicidal ideation/self-harm or lack of safety related to the youth's behavior) and an evaluation is being requested to clarify diagnosis and appropriate interventions.	CFWB is requesting a comprehensive psychological evaluation for diagnostic clarification to guide treatment because the parent is not making expected progress in treatment or there are concerns for mental health and/or behavioral issues that are interfering with appropriate adherence to the case plan. These are the identified barriers:	
☐ WIC 300C – Serious Emotional Damage and there is no therapist who can document.	 ☐ Psychiatric evaluation recommends a comprehensive psychological evaluation. ☐ Court ordered psychological evaluation (please fill out section D with specific behaviors, symptoms, 	
 ☐ Court ordered psychological evaluation (please fill out section D with specific behaviors, symptoms, etc.) AND the following: NOTE: Please convey the reason the court is asking 	etc.) AND the following: NOTE: Please convey the reason the court is asking for the evaluation:	
for the evaluation:	Family Code Section 7827: There is concern that the parent may have a mental disability, as defined in Family Code Section 7827 as a "mental incapacity or disorder that renders the parent unable to care for and control the child adequately?" A request for this	

	evaluation will assess whether the parent is capable of utilizing reunification services and their prognosis for benefiting from the services to safely parent the child (ren) within reunification time frames.	
	·	
F. PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION (NON MEDICATION)		
Date consulted with Staff Psychologist (Required):		
NOTE: A psychiatric evaluation requested through TERM is rare and is not the same as a medication psychiatric evaluation, which is conducted and completed through a community health clinic and not through Optum TERM. Refer to the Mental Health Evaluations policy for additional information on where to refer the youth or parent for a medication psychiatric evaluation.		
CHILD/YOUTH/NMD	PARENT	
Please check the ONE box below that indicates the rationale for the psychiatric evaluation.	Please check the ONE box below that indicates the rationale for the psychiatric evaluation.	
The child/youth/NMD's treating licensed mental health professional is recommending a psychiatric evaluation for diagnostic clarification and treatment purposes because the youth is showing signs of serious mental illness (e.g. appears to exhibit psychotic symptoms or significant behavioral challenges). The child/youth has had a medication evaluation within the past six months. A review of current medications, as a part of the comprehensive evaluation of medical and mental health status is requested.	The client's treating licensed mental health professional is recommending a psychiatric evaluation for diagnostic clarification and treatment purposes, because the parent is showing signs of significant mental illness (e.g. appears to exhibit psychotic symptoms or significant behavioral/personality concerns) that are interfering with appropriate adherence to the case plan. A review of current medications, as a part of the comprehensive evaluation of medical and mental health status is requested.	
A recent psychological evaluation has recommended a complete psychiatric evaluation because the child/youth is showing signs of significant mental illness (e.g., appears to exhibit psychotic symptoms and/or significant emotional/behavioral challenges). A review of current medications, as a part of the comprehensive evaluation of medical and mental health status is requested. Child/youth/NMD is on multiple medications but	A recent psychological evaluation has recommended a complete psychiatric evaluation because the adult client is showing signs of significant mental illness (e.g. appears to exhibit psychotic symptoms or significant behavioral/personality concerns) that is interfering with appropriate adherence to the case plan. A review of current medications, as a part of the comprehensive evaluation of medical and mental health status is requested.	
psychiatric symptoms and psychological functioning have not improved. Child/youth may have history of multiple psychiatric hospitalizations. Behavioral acting out may be jeopardizing placement and/or academic functioning. Child/youth may be exhibiting behaviors that puts them at risk of harm to self or others. A review of current medications, as a part of	CFWB is recommending a psychiatric evaluation for diagnostic clarification and treatment purposes, because the parent is showing signs of significant mental illness (e.g. appears to exhibit psychotic symptoms or significant behavioral/personality concerns) that is interfering with appropriate adherence to the case plan. A review of current	

the comprehensive evaluation of medical and mental health status is requested.	medications, as a part of the comprehensive evaluation of medical and mental health status is requested.
Court ordered psychiatric evaluation (please fill out section D with specific behaviors, symptoms, etc.) AND the following: NOTE: Please convey the reason the court is asking for the evaluation?	Court-ordered psychiatric evaluation NOTE: Please convey the reason the court is asking for the evaluation?
G. NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION	
Date consulted with Staff Psychologist (Required):	
Please first assess whether the child/youth or parent may b	
or general practitioner must see the child/youth or parent p	orior to the referral.
CHILD/YOUTH/NMD	PARENT
Please check the ONE box below that indicates the	Please check the ONE box below that indicates the
rationale for the psychiatric evaluation.	rationale for the psychiatric evaluation.
☐ The child/youth's/NMD treating licensed mental	☐ The parent's treating licensed mental health
health professional is recommending a	professional is recommending a neuropsychological
neuropsychological evaluation for diagnostic clarification	evaluation for diagnostic clarification and treatment
and treatment purposes, because the child/youth/parent	purposes, because the parent is showing signs of cognitive
is showing signs of cognitive deficits and there is concern	deficits that are interfering with appropriate adherence to
for a history of developmental or brain trauma or	the case plan or effective parenting. There is concern for a
progressive cognitive decline. Child/youth/NMD must be	history of developmental or brain trauma or progressive
seen by a neurologist or general practitioner prior to	cognitive decline. Parent must be seen by a neurologist or
referral.	general practitioner prior to referral.
A recent psychological or psychiatric evaluation has	A recent psychological or psychiatric evaluation has
recommended a neuropsychological evaluation for	recommended a neuropsychological evaluation for
diagnostic clarification and treatment purposes, because	diagnostic clarification and treatment purposes, because
the child/youth is showing signs of cognitive deficits and	the parent is showing signs of cognitive deficits that are
there is concern for a history of developmental or brain	interfering with appropriate adherence to the case plan or
trauma. Child/youth must be seen by a neurologist or	effective parenting. There is concern for a history of
general practitioner prior to referral.	developmental or brain trauma or progressive cognitive
	decline. Parent must be seen by a neurologist or general
CFWB is recommending a neuropsychological	practitioner prior to referral.
evaluation for diagnostic clarification and treatment purposes, because the child/youth is showing signs of	CFWB is recommending a neuropsychological
cognitive deficits and there is concern for a history of	evaluation for diagnostic clarification and treatment
developmental or brain trauma. Child/youth must be	purposes, because the parent is showing signs of cognitive
seen by a neurologist or family practitioner prior to	deficits that are interfering with appropriate adherence to
referral.	the case plan or effective parenting. There is concern for a
	history of developmental or brain trauma or progressive
Court ordered neuropsychological evaluation	cognitive decline. Parent must be seen by a neurologist or
NOTE: Please convey the reason the court is asking for	general practitioner prior to referral.

the evaluation?	Court ordered neuropsychological evaluation. NOTE: Please convey the reason the court is asking for the evaluation?
H. REQUEST FOR A NON-TERM PROVIDER	
Reason for requesting a non-TERM provider (check as many	y as apply):
Child/youth or adult has linguistic needs that cannot be	e met through TERM panel. Specify language:
Child/youth or adult has cultural needs that cannot be	met through TERM panel. Specify cultural needs:
Child/youth or adult has clinical needs that cannot be n	net through TERM panel. Specify clinical needs:
Child/youth resides outside California on approved ICP	C Case.
Adult resides outside San Diego County but within Califo	ornia.
Adult resides outside California.	
Child/youth or NMD resides out of county, in California	, and Presumptive Transfer was waived
Child/youth or NMD resides out of county, in California and/or Child and Family Team has assessed a need for a Plan is not providing the services. (This selection requires)	a specific evaluation and the Out of County Mental Health
ACTION	REQUIRED
SW: Submit 04-178 to Regional JELS Staff to send to Optum authorization once provider is confirmed.	TERM. OptumTERM will forward to provider with the CFWB
Mental Health Treatment. Please confirm delivery method provider before sen	have been confirmed as per the Policy Manual: I of case information (mail or fax) DIRECTLY with the assigned ading case documents. Intil all case documents have been received.
FOR PR	ROVIDERS
	18, they can request a copy of their medical records which opy of this report.
	n receipt of the medical report, the requester should consult rther evaluation or interpretation, particularly if the report

contains material sensitive in subject matter. (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 22, § 35051).